

REMARKS

The specification is amended at page 3 for improved clarity. Claims 1 and 12 are amended to better define the claimed invention, and Claim 2 is amended to maintain proper antecedent basis with Claim 1. Claims 1 - 18 remain, with no claim previously allowed.

Claims 1 - 18 stand rejected as anticipated by *Serbetcioglu et al* (WO 95/12948). The Applicants respective fully transverse this rejection.

Serbetcioglu et al discloses a method for delivering the spoken name of a calling party to the called party, who may then decide whether to authorize the call. However, that method comprises recording the caller's spoken name in response to a prompt and for establishing a voice link to the called party over which the recorded spoken name is played. Please see the paragraph bridging pages 13 and 14 and page 29, lines 4-7. that system may also record the telephone number of the calling subscriber and play that recorded number to the called party (page 14, lines 5-8).

The invention disclosed and claimed by the present Applicants, in contrast with the disclosure of *Serbetcioglu et al*, obtains the directory number of a calling-party telephone from a database of subscriber names associated with directory numbers. If the wireless telephone being called is available, the name and the number associated with the calling party telephone is forwarded to a service node, which places a call to the wireless telephone and place an audio annunciation of the name and directory number obtained from the database. These features of the present invention are recited in amended parent Claims 1 and 12, and thus also in the dependent claims.

It should now be understood that *Serbetcioglu et al* does not disclose obtaining the calling party names and number from a database of subscriber names and associated numbers. Instead, that reference prompts the calling party to his or her name — which, of course, might be fictitious or otherwise differ from the *subscriber name* associated with the directory number of a calling party. *Serbetcioglu et al* is not seen to furnish subscriber name/number information from a database of such information, as is required with the present invention.

For the foregoing reason alone, *Serbetcioğlu et al* cannot anticipate Claims 1 - 18.

The foregoing is submitted as a complete response to the Office Action identified above. The present application should now be in condition for allowance, and the Applicants solicit a notice to that effect.

Respectfully submitted,

MERCHANT & GOULD



Roger T. Frost
Reg. No. 22,176

Date: April 8, 2004

Merchant & Gould, LLC
P.O. Box 2903
Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903
Telephone: 404.954.5100

